Level of Anticipated Recommendation Response Responsible Recommendation completion The report makes it clear that the quality assurance of the small licence R Dutton, P Advisable Ensure that its guality and January standards procedures as a arrangement is effective, but clearly expresses a preference for the Simpson 2012 procedure for quality assessment activity to be incorporated with other whole, with particular but collaborative provision procedures. The core modules examiner role is not exclusive reference to clearly outlined in the appointment letter in the same way as any other the monitoring of collaborative provision and examiner, and is subject to the same requirements and procedures as all the role of the core external other examiners, but auditors clearly wished to see explicit reference to examiner. are consistently the core module examiner role in the procedures. We will update the and fully documented in a collaborative provision handbook and the guidelines for external readily accessible form. examiners to address these points, and will carry out a review of the procedures to confirm that no other changes are needed. Extend the opportunities Students receive a report of the comments of examiners, but have not up Desirable G Wood January to now seen the full report. We have discussed this with examiners and that currently exist for 2012 student access to external they are content for their full reports to be published to students. We will explore ways of bringing the full reports to the attention of relevant examiners' reports students. Desirable Strengthen the external As the report makes clear, external experts are involved in the Periodic R Dutton, P January academic and professional Review process. Although they receive a large amount of data regarding 2012 Simpson contribution to periodic the programmes under review, the original examiners reports and review throughout the meetings with students are not part of this. We will review the Periodic Review process to explore ways in which these elements may be added. procedure Review the efficiency and R Dutton, P Desirable Although a 'mixed economy' institution, UCB works hard to ensure that January effectiveness of the the same expectations for quality assurance activity are applied at both FE 2012 Simpson relationship between and HE levels. This single-system approach has led to excellent outcomes for both FE and HE students, but does sometimes mean that external programme evaluation, internal audit, periodic scrutineers find quality systems contain elements they are unfamiliar

with. We will explore ways of separating out the FE and HE activity to

make procedures easier to understand by external observers.

UCB Action Plan in response to recommendation of QAA Institutional Audit 2011

review and revalidation